

of the items in which I have a strong interest. This assistance has made a difference in many countries.

Given the fact that the bill leaves FY 2001 assistance at FY 2000 levels, I want to state that, in southeastern Europe, our priority list should begin with a focus on the need for democratic change to Serbia. The people of Serbia deserve it; right now they are facing a major crackdown by the Milosevic regime on their basic rights and freedoms. Democratic change in Serbia is in the U.S. interest. Building democracy and prosperity throughout the region, including in Kosovo and Bosnia, would then be easier, making our assistance there more effective. Until Milosevic is stopped, we face the possibility of more conflict in the region, and the need for additional millions of dollars for humanitarian aid, reconstruction and possibly military intervention in both a peacemaking and a peacekeeping capacity.

In addition to helping initiate a long-needed democratic transition in Serbia, this assistance could bring support for Montenegro, Macedonia, and Croatia, now that the relatively new governments of these republics have learned the value of embracing multi-ethnic cooperation and tolerance, along with cooperation with the international community. Mr. Chairman, we should prioritize assistance to those who seek to make the right decisions.

I am pleased, Mr. Chairman, that the Committee report language states its support for the funding levels requested by the President for Montenegro, as well as the allocation of \$350,000 for an OSCE effort to facilitate contacts with democratic forces in Serbia and Montenegro. In the near future, the International Relations Committee should mark-up similar provisions as part of H.R. 1064, the Serbia and Montenegro Democracy Act of 2000, which I introduced in early March of last year. I thank the Committee for this report language.

The CHAIRMAN. If there are no further amendments, under the rule, the Committee rises.

Accordingly, the Committee rose; and the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. PEASE) having assumed the chair, Mr. THORNBERRY, Chairman of the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union, reported that that Committee, having had under consideration the bill (H.R. 4811) making appropriations for foreign operations, export financing, and related programs for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2001, and for other purposes, pursuant to House Resolution 546, he reported the bill back to the House with sundry amendments adopted by the Committee of the Whole.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the rule, the previous question is ordered.

Is a separate vote demanded on any amendment? If not, the Chair will put them en gros.

The amendments were agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the engrossment and third reading of the bill.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, and was read the third time.

MOTION TO RECOMMIT OFFERED BY MR. OBEY

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I offer a motion to recommit.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is the gentleman opposed to the bill?

Mr. OBEY. I certainly am, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the motion to recommit.

The Clerk read as follows:

Mr. OBEY moves to recommit the bill H.R. 4811 to the Committee on Appropriations with instructions to report the same back to the House forthwith with an amendment to reduce the Asian Development Fund and increase the African Development Fund as follows:

On page 40, line 23 after the dollar amount insert: "decreased by \$5,000,000", and

On page 41, line 5 after the dollar amount insert: "(increased by \$5,000,000)".

Mr. OBEY (during the reading). Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the motion to recommit be considered as read and printed in the RECORD.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Wisconsin?

There was no objection.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I want to make clear that I do not intend to ask for a rollcall vote again in order to save time, but I do want Members to understand what we are doing.

Mr. Speaker, shortly I will be asking Members to vote against final passage of this bill because, with all of the amendments that were adopted today, this bill still falls \$224 million short of what is needed on the debt relief front. It falls some \$270 million short of funding the administration's request on the International Development Association, or IDA. It funds only one-half the Asian Development Fund and only one-half the African Development Bank.

The Peace Corps is \$17 million short of the administration's request. The Global Environmental Facility, which has a request for \$176 million, is funded only at \$36 million. The InterAmerican Fund, which was requested at a \$20 million level, is funded in fact at only \$10 million. There are a variety of other problems, as well. And so, I urge Members to vote no until we can fix these problems in conference.

What this motion to recommit will do is to try to add to the points made in the debate last night on Africa. The fact is there will be over 40 million children who will be made orphans over the next few years in Africa because of AIDS.

Taking that into account, this recommitment motion would simply cut \$5 million from the Asian Development Fund and increase the African Development Fund by \$5 million correspondingly.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. CALLAHAN. Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to the motion to recommit.

Mr. Speaker, let me ask my colleagues to vote against the recommitment motion. We have had two long days of debate. There has been some victories on the Republican side and some victories on the minority side. I

think, though, that we have a good vehicle that we can address even some of the concerns that the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. OBEY) mentioned, some of the deficiencies that are here and admittedly are here, but it is the best that we could do under the deck of cards that have been used to deal us this hand. This is the best we can do.

I think the distributions that we have made are fair and equitable. I pledge to those of us that are concerned about such things as the Peace Corps, and my colleagues know my strong support for them, that if additional allocations are made during this process, we are going to address the very concerns that the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. OBEY) is concerned about.

But his motion to recommit transfers from the Asian Development Fund \$5 million and sends it to the African Development Fund, and I think that we should not do that at this time.

I urge a no vote on the recommitment and a favorable vote on final passage of the bill.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the previous question is ordered on the motion to recommit.

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion to recommit.

The motion was rejected.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on passage of the bill.

Under clause 10 of rule XX, the yeas and nays are ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 239, nays 185, not voting 11, as follows:

[Roll No. 400]

YEAS—239

Aderholt	Chambliss	Gillmor
Archer	Coble	Gilman
Armey	Collins	Goodling
Bachus	Cooksey	Gordon
Baker	Cox	Goss
Ballenger	Cramer	Graham
Barcia	Crane	Granger
Barrett (NE)	Crowley	Green (TX)
Bartlett	Cubin	Greenwood
Barton	Davis (FL)	Gutknecht
Bass	Davis (VA)	Hastert
Bateman	Deal	Hastings (WA)
Bentsen	DeLay	Hayworth
Bereuter	DeMint	Hill (MT)
Berkley	Deutsch	Hilleary
Berman	Diaz-Balart	Hobson
Biggart	Dickey	Hoekstra
Bilbray	Doyle	Holden
Bilirakis	Dreier	Holt
Blagojevich	Dunn	Hooley
Bliley	Ehlers	Horn
Blunt	Ehrlich	Hornett
Boehlert	Emerson	Houghton
Boehner	Engel	Hulshof
Bonilla	English	Hunter
Bono	Everett	Hutchinson
Boyd	Ewing	Hyde
Brady (TX)	Fletcher	Isakson
Bryant	Foley	Istook
Burr	Fossella	John
Burton	Fowler	Johnson (CT)
Buyer	Franks (NJ)	Johnson, Sam
Callahan	Frelinghuysen	Kaptur
Calvert	Frost	Kasich
Camp	Gallegly	Kelly
Canady	Ganske	King (NY)
Cannon	Gekas	Kingston
Castle	Gibbons	Klink
Chabot	Gilchrest	Knollenberg